NORTH AMERICAN END USE STUDY

Comparative Summary of Survey Responses and Billing Data

_																		
[No. of SF	No. of	Avg. Annual	Std. Dev.	No. of	% Survey		Q1a		_	Q1b		i	Q1c		l	Q1d	
i	Accounts	Q1000	Consumption	Annuai	Survey	Reponse		of Toil				shower		athtub			thower	
1	(screened DB)	Accounts	Q1000	Consumption	Responses		Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median
. [K. galions	K. gallons									L			L		
Boulder, Colorado	16904	1000	134.1	74.5	459	45.9%	2.48	2	2	1.32	1	1	1.4	0	0	0.73	1	1
Denver, Colorado	174688	1000	160.4	111.8	466	46.6%	2.33	2	2	1 16	1	1	0.2	0	0	0.73	1	1
Eugene, Oregon	27523	983	107.8	56.8	510	51.9%	1.91	2	2	1.05	1	1	0.16	0	0	0.57	1	1
Seattle, Washington	N/A	1000	107.8	64.6	497	49.7%	2.20	2	2	1.21	1	1	0.13	6	0	0.62	1	1
San Diego, California	198901	1011	150.1	100.2	482	47.7%	2.18	2	2	1.15	1	1	0.20	0	0	0.75	1	1
Tampa, Florida	99000	1017	98.9	77.0	366	36.0%	1.76	2	2	1.15	1	1	0.11	0	0	0.46	0	0
Phoenix, Arizona	254781	1000	230.4	151.5	426	42.6%	2.19	2	2	1.30	1	1	0.24	0	0	0.75	1	1
Tempe, Arizona	29700	400	184.9	150.4	220	55%	2.13	2	2	1.18	1	1	0.19	0	0	0.79	1	1
Scottsdale, Arizona	42811	600	184.9	150.4	333	56%	2.45	2	2	1.32	1	1	0.36	0	0	0.90	1	1
Waterloo, Ontario	19038	400	68.8	34.9	259	65%	2.40	2	2	1.07	1	1	0.14	0	0	0.69	1	1
Cambridge, Ontario	28774	600	71.9	75.6	303	51%	2.00	2	2	1.09	1	1	0.15	0	0	0.42	0	0
Walnut Valley, California	18307	1000	206.2	127.5	374	38%	2.51	2	2	1.46	1	1	1.06	1	1	1.22	1	1
Las Virgenes, California	12740	1062	303.3	349.8	409	39%	3.23	3	3	1.56	1	1	0.48	1	0	1.25	1	1
Lompoc, California	5740	1000	103.1	64.8	467	47%	2.04	2	2	1.01	_ 1	1	0.21	0	0	0.77	1	1

			Q24	•	····	.,	T	(Q25		Q	25a	Q	25b	Q2	5c	Q	25d
		importance	of conservation	on (5 = most in	iportant)		tri	ed co	nservation	?	shorter	showers	If show	or heads	todet i	nserts	1 100 m	toilet
	1	2	3	4	5	NR	YES	NO	D.Know	NR								
											YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Boulder, Colorado	2.0%	5.9%	17.4%	26.8%	43,1%	4.6%	85.4%	10.9%	2.8%		35.3%				14.8%		16.3%	
Denver, Colorado	2.4%	3.0%	18.0%	28.1%	42.5%	6.0%	88.4%	7.5%	2.8%									79.8%
Eugene, Oregon	2.4%	4.3%	15.9%	22.4%	51.0%	4.1%	87.6%	8.8%	2.4%	1.2%	36.3%	63.7%	71.4%	28.6%	14.1%	85.9%	11.2%	88.8%
Seattle, Washington	0.8%	2.2%	9.9%	24.5%	61.2%	1.4%	93.2%	4.6%	2.2%	0.0%	47.9%	52.1%	57.7%	42.3%	28.0%	72.0%	20.3%	79.7%
San Diego, California	0.6%	1.5%	5.0%	22.6%	68.9%	1.5%	96.1%	1.9%	1.7%	0.4%	60.4%	39.6%	64.3%	35.7%	32.8%	67.2%	43.2%	56.8%
Tampa, Florida	1.1%	2.2%	3.0%	14.5%	76.5%	2.7%	88.8%	6.8%	3.6%	0.8%	45.1%	54.9%	43.7%	56.3%	24.6%	75.4%	20.5%	79.5%
Phoenix, Arizona	1.6%	1.9%	7.3%	17.6%	69.7%	1.9%	87.6%	9.2%	2.6%	0.7%	41.8%	58.2%	44.8%	55.2%	24.6%	75.4%	17.8%	82.2%
Tempe, Arizona	0.5%	1.4%	11.8%	15.9%	68.2%	2.3%	84.5%	10.0%	2.3%	3.2%	34.1%	65.9%	38.2%	61.8%	21.4%	78.6%	15.5%	84.5%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.9%	2.7%	7.2%	20.1%	65.5%	3.6%	86.5%	10.2%	2.1%	1.2%	45.3%	54.7%	42.9%	57.1%	16.5%	83.5%	23.1%	76.9%
Waterjoo, Ontario	0.4%	2.7%	6.9%	21.6%	63.7%	4.6%	81.9%	6.6%	2.7%	8.9%	35.5%	64.5%	54.1%	45.9%	36.7%	63.3%	16.2%	83.8%
Cambridge, Ontario	1.6%	1.3%	7.5%	19.6%	64.4%	5.6%	83.3%	5.9%	4.6%	6.2%	38.2%	61.8%	55.9%	43.8%	32.0%	68.0%	19.3%	80.7%
Walnut Valley, California	0.3%	0.8%	9.6%	21.9%	65.2%	2.1%	91.4%	4.0%	1.1%	3.5%	54.3%	45.7%	51.6%	48.4%	29.7%	70.3%	26.5%	73.5%
Las Virgenes, California	0.7%	1.2%	8.6%	21.0%	66.5%	2.0%	91.9%	3.4%	1.7%	2.9%	55.0%	45.0%	57.0%	43.0%	33.0%	67.0%	37.7%	62.3%
Lompoc, California	1.5%	1.5%	7.9%	21.6%	65.5%	1.9%	86.9%	6.2%	1.7%	5.1%	53.7%	46.3%	52.7%	47.3%	19.5%	80.5%	31.3%	68.7%

		Q1e		[Q1f			Q1g			Q1h			Q2a			Q2b	
) #v	vhirlpoo	ol tub	#b	throon	n sink	#1	kitchen	sink	# indoo	r utility/ga	arage sink	garb	age disp	osal	top lo	ading wa	asher
	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	%No	%NF
Boulder, Colorado	0.07	0	0	2.65	2	3	1.09	1	1	0.29	0	0	92.8%	6.7%	0.2%	96.3%	3.5%	0.0%
Denver, Colorado	0.06	0	0	2.41	2	2	1.08	1	1	0.37	0	0	87.1%	12.7%	0.2%	94.6%	4.7%	0.6%
Eugene, Oregon	0.04	0	0	1.97	2	2	1.05	1	1	0.34	0	0	63.5%	34.7%	1.8%	96.1%	3.1%	0.89
Seattle, Washington	0.06	0	0	2.29	2	2	1.09	1	1	0.51	1	0	61.4%	36.4%	2.2%	96.6%	3.0%	0.49
San Diego, California	0.04	0	0	2.34	2	2	1.05	1	1	0.44	0	0	84.2%	14.5%	1.2%	94.4%	4.8%	0.85
Tampa, Florida	0.03	0	0	1.79	1	2	1.07	1	1	0.23	0	0	36.3%	58.2%	5.5%	89.9%	9.6%	0.59
Phoenix, Arizona	0.07	0	0	2.51	2	2	1.07	1	1	0.24	0	0	73.5%	26.3%	0.2%	96.9%	2.8%	0.29
Tempe, Arizona	0.06	0	0	2.56	2	2	1.06	1	1	0.19	0	0	84.1%	15.5%	0.5%	95.5%	4.1%	0.5
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.15	0	0	3.10	2	3	1.08	1	1	0.38	0	0	90.4%	8.7%	0.9%	98.8%	0.9%	0.3
Waterico, Ontario	0.17	0	0	2.47	2	2	1.26	1	1	0.78	1	1	5.8%	89.2%	5.0%	96.1%	3.1%	0.89
Cambridge, Ontario	0.14	ō	ō	2.01	2	2	1.16	1	1	0.56	1	1	2.6%	90.2%	7.2%	94.1%	4.2%	1.6
Mainut Valley, California	0.75	1	1	2.93	2	3	1.08	1	1	0.96	1	1	92.5%	6.1%	1.3%	97.1%	2.4%	0.5
Las Virgenes, California	0.23	ò	ò	3.89	3	4	1.17	1	1	0.44	Ô	ō	93.4%	5.4%	1.2%	96.3%	2.4%	1.2
Lompoc, California	0.02	ő	Ö	2.17	2	2	1.03	•	1	0.17	Õ	ń	80.9%	17.1%	1.9%	96.8%	1.9%	1.3

	Q25e	Q25f	Q25g	Q25h	Q25i	Q25j	Q25k	Q25I	Q25m
	less garbage	dish washer	clothes washer	repaired leaks	gray water/rause	wash car less	water lawns less	water at night	xeriscape
	disposal	efficiency	efficiency			ſ		1	
	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO
Boulder, Colorado	28.3% 71.7%	56.6% 43.4%	44.4% 55.6%	51.2% 48.8%	7.4% 92.6%	21.4% 78.7%	34.9% 65.1%		21.4% 78.7%
Denver, Colorado	34.1% 65.9%	55.2% 44.9%	49.8% 50.2%	48.9% 50.9%	4.3% 95.7%	25.1% 74.9%			10.9% 89.1%
Eugene, Oregon	22.7% 77.3%	51.0% 49.0%	44.9% 55.1%	42.0% 58.0%	4.7% 95.3%	28.4% 71.6%	30.4% 69.6%	36.1% 63.9%	7.5% 92.5%
Seattle, Washington	20.5% 79.5%	60.4% 39.6%	54.5% 45.5%	44.5% 55.5%	7.0% 93.0%	34.8% 65.2%	50.7% 49.3%	45.1% 54.9%	9.7% 90.3%
San Diego, California	36.5% 63.5%	51.5% 48.5%	60.6% 39.4%	52.9% 47.1%	14.1% 85.9%	43.6% 56.4%	45.4% 54.6%	42.1% 57.9%	20.3% 79.7%
Tampa, Florida	16.1% 83.9%	33.6% 66.4%	51.9% 48.1%	55.5% 44.5%	3.0% 97.0%	38.0% 62.0%	44.0% 56.0%	40.4% 59.6%	9.8% 90.2%
Phoenix, Arizona	29.3% 70.7%	54.2% 45.8%	52.6% 47.2%	58.0% 42.0%	3.8% 96.0%	36.2% 63.8%	35.7% 64.3%	53.1% 46.9%	21.4% 78.6%
Tempe, Arizona	32.3% 67.7%	63.6% 36.4%	51.4% 48.6%	49.1% 50.9%	3.2% 96.4%	30.5% 69.5%	22.3% 77.7%	47.7% 52.3%	22.3% 77.7%
Scottsdale, Arizona	36.0% 64.0%	66.7% 33.3%	52.9% 47.1%	56.2% 43.8%	2.4% 97.6%	30.9% 69.1%	25.2% 74.8%	40.2% 59.8%	25.2% 74.8%
Waterico, Ontario	3.9% 96.1%	40.2% 59.8%	40.2% 59.8%	42.9% 57.1%	6.6% 93.4%	29.7% 70.3%	45.6% 54.4%	42.5% 57.5%	7.7% 92.3%
Cambridge, Ontario	2.3% 97.7%	37.3% 62.7%	48.4% 51.6%	39.2% 60.8%	5.2% 94.8%	32.0% 68.0%	40.5% 59.5%	46.7% 53.3%	2.9% 97.1%
Walnut Valley, California	40.4% 59.6%	64.4% 35.6%	49.2% 50.8%	57.5% 42.5%	3.5% 96.5%	46.8% 53.2%	39.8% 60.2%	48.7% 51.3%	12.3% 87.7%
Las Virgenes, California	38.1% 61.9%	69.9% 30.1%	56.5% 43.5%	52.8% 47.2%	5.1% 94.9%	33.3% 66.7%	36.4% 63.6%	47.4% 52.6%	12.2% 87.8%
Lompoc, California	34.9% 65.1%	54.8% 45.2%	57.2% 42.8%	52.7% 47.3%	7.9% 92.1%	39.8% 60.2%	41.1% 58.9%	43.5% 56.5%	18.4% 81.6%

		Q2c			Q2d			Q2e			Q2f			Q2g			Q2h	
	front	loading	washer	di⊧	sh wash	er 1e	swir	nming p	ool		hot tub		SW	amp cod	ler	press	cure regi	ulator
	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	%No	%NR	.KYes	% N o	%NR	%Yes	%No	%NR
Boulder, Colorado	2.4%	87.4%	10.0%	86.3%	12.0%	1.5%	1.3%	91.1%	7.4%	5.9%	86.7%	7.2%	9.4%	82.8%	7.6%	35.2%	42.2%	22.4%
Denver, Colorado	2.6%	86.5%	10.9%	75.1%	22.5%	2.4%	1.5%	90.8%	7.7%	4.7%	87.3%	7.9%	18.7%	75.3%	6.0%	7.3%	69.3%	23.4%
Eugene, Oregon	2.5%	84.3%	13.1%	80.6%	16.9%	2.5%	2.9%	87.3%	9.8%	13.3%	77.5%	9.2%	0.2%	89.6%	10.2%	10.8%	66.9%	22.4%
Seattle, Washington	1.6%	84.5%	13.9%	80.5%	16.9%	2.6%	3.0%	87.3%	9.7%	8.9%	82.7%	8.5%	0.0%	89.9%	10.1%	10.1%	70.8%	19.1%
San Diego, California	2.9%	86.3%	10.8%	67.6%	30.7%	1.7%	11.4%	82.0%	6.6%	7.9%	85.3%	6.8%	1.2%	91.7%	7.1%	36.7%	50.0%	13.3%
Tampa, Florida	2.7%	84.7%	12.6%	44.0%	50.5%	5.5%	16.1%	76.2%	7.7%	3.8%	88.5%	7.7%	0.3%	90.7%	9.0%	2.7%	78.7%	18.6%
Phoenix, Arizona	1.2%	89.0%	9.9%	77.0%	22.3%	0.7%	37.8%	59.6%	2.6%	6.6%	89.0%	4.5%	37.8%	58.9%	3.3%	15.3%	70.0%	14.8%
Tempe, Arizona	0.5%	95.0%	4.5%	85.0%	13.6%	1.4%	40.9%	57.3%	1.8%	9.1%	87.7%	3.2%	37.7%	59.5%	2.7%	9.1%	75.5%	15.5%
Scottsdale, Arizona	1.5%	85.6%	12.9%	93.4%	5.7%	0.9%	53.2%	42.6%	4.2%	16.5%	76.3%	7.2%	17.4%	75.4%	7.2%	24.3%	56.2%	19.5%
Waterico, Ontario	2.7%	86.9%	10.4%	60.2%	36.7%	3.1%	7.3%	86.1%	6.6%	2.7%	90.7%	6.6%	0.4%	92.7%	6.9%	5.4%	79.2%	15.4%
Cambridge, Ontario	3.9%	84.3%	11.8%	50.7%	44.4%	4.9%	9.8%	82.4%	7.8%	2.3%	90.2%	7.5%	0.7%	90.8%	8.5%	4.9%	77.1%	18.0%
Walnut Valley, California	3.2%	74.3%	22.5%	89.3%	8.3%	2.4%	28.6%	58.8%	12.6%	15.0%	70.6%	14.4%	1.6%	81.6%	16.8%	41.4%	40.6%	17.9%
Las Virgenes, California	2.4%	84.8%	12.7%	94.4%	4.6%	1.0%	48.4%	46.9%	4.6%	17.1%	75.6%	7.3%	2.4%	89.7%	7.8%	59.9%	25.4%	14.7%
Lompoc, California	2.1%	84.2%	13.7%	70.2%	25.7%	4.1%	0.9%	89.5%	9.6%	11.6%	79.4%	9.0%	0.2%	89.9%	9.9%	49.3%	32.1%	18.6%

	Q	25n	Q	250	Q	25p	Q	25q	Q	25r	Γ		a	26		
	sprinkle	or system	efficient	irrig. sys.	cycle	irrigate	home w	ator audit	o	ther	į	s comm	unity exp	eriencir	ig drough	t
		just	{			- 1					No	Mild	Modrte.	Severe	D.Know	NR
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO						
Boulder, Colorado	20.3%	79.7%	5.7%	94.3%	4.6%	95.4%	0.0%	100.0%	9.8%	90.2%	36.2%	25.5%	14.6%	0.2%	22.7%	0.9%
Denver, Colorado	21.2%	78.8%	2.2%	97.9%	3.2%	96.8%	3.7%	96.4%	6.0%	93.8%	36.5%	21.5%	14.2%	0.6%	25.5%	1.5%
Eugene, Oregon	7.8%	92.2%	3.7%	96.3%	2.2%	97.8%	1.0%	99.0%	8.2%	91.8%	75.7%	7.1%	2.0%	0.0%	12.9%	2.4%
Seattle, Washington	5.6%	94.4%	3.6%	96.4%	1.0%	99.0%	0.0%	100.0%	8.2%	91.8%	71.6%	8.5%	2.2%	0.0%	17.5%	0.2%
San Diego, California	21.0%	79.0%	9.3%	90.7%	3.3%	96.7%	4.4%	95.6%	6.0%	94.0%	16.6%	30.3%	28.6%	3.7%	19.3%	1.5%
Tamps, Fiorida	7.9%	92.1%	1.6%	98.4%	0.5%	99.5%	0.3%	99.7%	8.7%	91.3%	13.1%	30.9%	28.7%	3.8%	22.4%	1.1%
Phoenix, Arizona	25.4%	74.6%	6.6%	93.4%	2.1%	97.9%	0.7%	99.3%	7.3%	92.7%	21.6%	21.4%	22.5%	4.0%	30.3%	0.2%
Tempe, Arizona	21.4%	78.6%	6.4%	93.6%	1.8%	97.7%	0.9%	99.1%	4.5%	95.5%	19.1%	25.9%	16.8%	2.7%	33.2%	2.3%
Scottsdaie, Arizona	33.0%	67.0%	12.6%	87.4%	2.7%	97.3%	0.6%	99.4%	5.1%	94.9%	26.1%	22.8%	21.9%	2.1%	26.4%	0.6%
Waterjoo, Ontario	1.9%	98.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0.4%	99.6%	0.8%	99.2%	3.5%	96.5%	54.8%	13.9%	8.9%	0.4%	18.5%	3.5%
Cambridge, Ontario	1.0%	99.0%	0.7%	99.3%	1.3%	98.7%	0.3%	99.7%	5.6%	94.4%	55.2%	10.1%	7.2%	0.0%	23.2%	4.2%
Walnut Valley, California	37.2%	62.8%	5.9%	94.1%	3.5%	96.5%	5.1%	94.9%	2.4%	97.6%	36.4%	21.7%	12.0%	1.1%	26.7%	2.1%
Las Virgenes, California	51.1%	48.9%	11.5%	88.5%	5.1%	94.9%	3.4%	96.6%	2.2%	97.8%	36.2%	26.4%	17.4%	0.7%	18.1%	1.2%
Lompoc, California	18.0%	82.0%	9.0%	91.0%	4.1%	95.9%	2.6%	97.4%	3.0%	97.0%	18.4%	34.0%	23.3%	4.1%	18.0%	2.1%

		8			8			02 <u>k</u>			8			٤			욂	
	Water	water treatment system	system	6	greenhouse		TOW.	flower garden	5	vegel	vegetable garden	den	clothes		year	dish	dish washer year	Jear.
	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	%No	XNR	%Yes	%No	%NR	%Yes	SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN S	%NR	Mean		3	Mean	Mean Mode Median	Median
Boulder, Colorado	8.3%	84.1%	7.4%	22%	91.1%	6.5%	75.2%	21.7%	2.8%	43.9%	51.7%	4.18 8	1986	19	1987	1987	1993	1989
Denver, Colorado	7.1%	85.2%	7.7%	1.38	90.8%	7.9%	63.1%	34.1%	2.8%	35.6%	59.7%	4.7%	1988	1990	1989	1988	1995	1990
Eugene, Oregon	2.2%	87.6%	10.2%	2.5%	88.0%	9.4%	70.8%	25.7%	3.5%	41.6%	51.6%	6.9%	1988	1994	1988	1988	1990	1990
Seattle, Washington	5.6%	85.7%	8.7%	1.8%	88.9%	9.3%	70.8%	26.4%	2.8%	35.2%	58.1%	6.6%	1988	1990	1988	1988	1990	1990
San Diego, California	25.3%	69.3%	5.4%	1.7%	91.3%	7.1%	58.9%	39.4%	1.7%	26.8%	67.8%	5.4%	1988	1990	1989	1988	1995	1989
Tampa, Florida	13.7%	77.9%	8.5%	0.5%	91.5%	7.9%	28.7%	65.6%	5.7%	7.9%	83.6%	8.5%	1988	1994	1990	1988	1993	1990
Phoenix, Arizona	21.4%	75.6%	3.1%	0.2%	96.5%	3.3%	40.1%	57.5%	2.3%	15.3%	81.7%	3.1%	1988	1994	1991	1988	1995	1990
Tempe, Arizona	25.0%	73.2%	1.8%	0.5%	97.7%	1.8%	40.5%	57.7%	1.8%	16.8%	80.0%	3.2%	1990	1995	1990	1990	1995	1991
Scottsdale, Arizona	36.6%	59.8%	3.6%	0.6%	92.8%	6.6%	47.4%	48.6%	3.9%	12.9%	79.9%	7.2%	1990	1995	1990	1990	1995	1992
Waterioo, Ontario	73.0%	23.2%	3.9%	0.0%	93.8%	6.2%	78.8%	18.9%	2.3%	30.1%	64.5%	5.4%	1988	1985	1988	1988	1990	1990
Cambridge, Ontario	55.9%	39.2%	4.9%	0.0%	92.2%	7.8%	72.9%	22.5%	4.6%	33.3%	58.5%	8.2%	1989	1994	1990	1991	1996	1991
Walnut Valley, California	29.4%	58.0%	12.6%	0.5%	83.2%	16.3%	61.0%	32.6%	6.4%	25.1%	62.8%	12.0%	1989	1995	1990	1989	1989	1989
Las Virgenes, California	26.2%	67.7%	6.1%	2.0%	91.4%	6.6%	71.6%	26.7%	1.7%	24.9%	69.9%	5.1%	1988	1995	1990	1986	1990	1990
Lompoc, California	22.3%	70.9%	6.9%	1.1%	90.4%	8.6%	67.7%	27.6%	4.7%	36.6%	57.0%	6.4%	1988	1990	1990	1990	1995	1990
					2							028	88			029	9	
				. g	type of housing	Buisno					qes	arate uri	separate urigation mete		¥.h	o pays	who pays water bill	£
	SF Dech.	WID. Apr.	SF Dtch. w/D. Apt. w/A. Apt. Duplex Triplex	Duplex		Town	Att.Apt.		Other	ž	YES	¥0	D.Know	Z,	House	L.Lord J.Knov	Knov-	ž
						House		Home										
Boulder, Colorado	96.3%	0.2%	1.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	21.1%	68.6%	9.4%	0.7%	96.5%	2.6%	0.0%	0.7%
Denver, Colorado	94.9%	0.4%	1.5%	1.1%	0.2%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	30.0%	55.2%	13.7%	0.9%	96.6%	2.8%	0.4%	0.2%
Eugene, Oregon	83.3%	1.2%	1.6%	4.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.4%	4.5%	0.6%	0.9%		84.1%	13.1%	0.8%	98.6%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Seattle, Washington	96.0%	0.2%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%		88.5%	9.3%	0.4%	98.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Djego, California	90.9%	1.7%	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	2.9%	0.4%	0.0%	2.1%	0.9%	2.3%	84.4%	13.1%	0.2%	97.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.6%
Tampa, Florida	90.7%	1.9%	1.1%	1.9%		3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.5%	86.9%	10.1%	0.5%	98.6%	0.3%	0.0%	1.1%
Phoenix, Arizona	89.9%	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%		2.3%	0.2%	2.6%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	89.9%	9.4%	0.2%	99.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tempe, Arizona	91.8%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4% %	0.0%	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	84.5%	12.7%	1.8%	97.7%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	85.6%	1.5%	0.9%	1.2%	0.3%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.9%	0.9%	86.2%	12.3%	0.6%	98.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%
Waterloo, Ontario	88.0%	% 0,0	1.2%	3.9%	-	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.9%	7.3%	81.9%	9.3%	1.5%	97.7%	0.8%	0.0%	1.5%
Cambridge, Ontario	81.0%	0.0%	1.0%	5.2%	_	2.9%	0.7%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%	7.2%	82.4%	7.8%	2.6%	95.8%	1.3%	0.3%	2.6%
Walnut Valley, California	96.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.9%	1.1%	85.0%	12.8%	1.1%	99.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
		7	0.2%	0.0%		5.4%	2				,							0.7%
Las Virgenes, California	90.0%					•	0.074	47.0	0.7%	0.9%	1.2%	84.1%	13.9%	0.7%	98.0%	1.2%	0.0%	

Page 4

Summary of mail survey responses

	Γ''''					QE		-							Q7			
				ha	nd wash	dishes	per we	ek					#	of low flo	w shov	ver hea	ds	
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	11-12	13-14	>14	D.Know	NR	0	1	2	3	>4	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	24.6%	25.3%	14.8%	9.4%	10.7%	3.3%	2.4%	2.4%	3.9%	0.9%	2.4%	32.2%	28.8%	24.2%	5.9%	4.4%	7.4%	1.19
Denver, Colorado	18.9%	29.0%	16.7%	9.0%	9.9%	3.2%	1.9%	4.5%	2.2%	1.3%	3.4%	33.3%	29.6%	20.6%	4.9%	0.3%	8.4%	2.69
Eugene, Oregon	22.0%	25.7%	15.1%	8.2%	10.0%	4.1%	2.9%	3.1%	2.9%	0.6%	5.3%	12.7%	43.3%	33.7%	4.5%	0.0%	2.5%	3.1%
Seattle, Washington	22.3%	27.6%	17.1%	6.0%	10.3%	2.8%	1.8%	2.4%	4.2%	1.4%	4.0%	23.9%	36.6%	29.8%	4.6%	1.0%	3.6%	0.49
San Diego, California	16.2%	20.7%	13.1%	8.3%	12.9%	5.0%	3.7%	6.6%	7.5%	2.5%	3.5%	15.4%	33.0%	37.3%	7.5%	1.5%	4.1%	1.29
lampa, Fiorida	14.5%	14.8%	12.6%	6.3%	19.7%	7.1%	3.6%	7.9%	7.7%	2.5%	3.6%	27.0%	39.9%	20.5%	1.9%	0.8%	6.8%	3.09
Phoenix, Arizona	15.5%	23.7%	18.5%	9.6%	12.2%	4.5%	1.9%	2.8%	8.0%	1.6%	1.6%	26.1%	24.9%	35.2%	3.5%	0.9%	8.2%	1.29
Tempe, Arizona	20.0%	25.5%	18.6%	10.5%	7.3%	3.6%	3.6%	2.3%	6.4%	0.9%	1.4%	30.5%	26.8%	32.3%	3.2%	0.5%	5.9%	0.99
Scottsdale, Arizona	18.9%	28.2%	17.4%	12,3%	7.8%	2.1%	2.1%	2.7%	2.7%	0.9%	4.8%	27.6%	17.1%	36.0%	7.2%	3.0%	5.4%	3.69
Waterioo, Ontario	6.6%	17.8%	13.1%	7.3%	18.9%	5.8%	3.9%	6.9%	14.3%	0.0%	5.4%	23.2%	44.0%	22.8%	2.7%	0.0%	3.1%	4.29
Cambridge, Ontario	7.5%	14.4%	11.1%	5.9%	21.2%	9.2%	5.6%	7.5%	14.1%	0.7%	2.9%	24.8%	49.0%	17.0%	2.0%	0.3%	3.6%	3.39
Nainut Valley, California	12.8%	17.1%	15.8%	9.1%	12.3%	5.3%	3.5%	5.9%	10.4%	2.1%	5.6%	23.8%	15.8%	40.4%	10.4%	0.5%	5.1%	4.09
as Virgenes, California	22.0%	22.2%	15.2%	7.8%	12.7%	2.9%	2.9%	3.7%	6.8%	1.0%	2.7%	16.1%	14.2%	34.0%	16.9%	11.2%	7.1%	0.59
Lompoc. California	12.8%	20.3%	16.7%	10.3%	14.1%	6.4%	1.1%	5.8%	7.7%	0.9%	3.9%	20.8%	27.8%	40.7%	3.2%	0.2%	5.8%	1.59

		Q	i0a			Q30I	b		<u> </u>	Q3	0c			Q3	Od	
	Ad	ults (18	+) WINTE	R	Teena	gers (13-	17) WI	NTER	Ch	ildren (<	13) WINT	ER	Adı	ilts (18+	SUMMI	≘R
	MEAN		MEDIAN		MEAN	MODE	EDIA	NR	MEAN	MODE	WEDIAN	NR	MEAN	MODE	WEDIAN	NR
Boulder, Colorado	2	2	2	0.4%	0.07	0	1	73.0%	1.08	1	1	62.8%	2.03	2	2	0.7%
Denver, Colorado	1.92	2	2	2.2%	0.76	0	1	73.0%	1.25	2	1	62.2%	1.95	2	2	2.8%
Eugene, Oregon	1.93	2	2	2.0%	0.91	1	1	75.1%	1.23	1	1	68.4%	1.96	2	2	1.2%
Seattle, Washington	2.00	2	2	2.0%	0.16	0	0	75.1%	0.35	0	0	68.4%	2.05	2	2	1.2%
San Diego, California	2.11	2	2	1.2%	0.71	0	1	75.9%	1.09	0	1	70.3%	2.10	2	2	1.7%
Tampa, Florida	1.93	2	2	1.2%	0.18	0	0	75.9%	0.31	0	0	70.3%	1.93	2	2	1.7%
Phoenix, Arizona	2.09	2	2	1.6%	0.85	1	1	75.1%	1.40	1	1	64.1%	2.05	2	2	1.6%
Tempe, Arizona	2.17	2	2	3.6%	0.72	0	1	78.6%	1.34	1	1	62.7%	2.14	2	2	3.2%
Scottsdale, Arizona	2.02	2	2	2.5%	0.11	0	0	80.7%	0.29	0	G	69.1%	1.83	2	2	2.5%
Waterloo, Ontario	2.22	2	2	0.8%	0.22	0	0	76.1%	0.48	0	0	66.0%	2.15	2	2	1.5%
Cambridge, Ontario	2.14	2	2	3.6%	0.31	0	0	69.9%	0.52	0	0	64.1%	2.17	2	2	3.9%
Walnut Valley, California	2.50	2	2	0.0%	0.96	1	1	0.0%	1.32	1	1	0.0%	2.53	2	2	0.0%
Las Virgenes, California	2.17	2	2	0.0%	0.21	o	0	75.1%	0.45	0	0	64.1%	2.20	2	2	0.0%
Lompoc, California	1.95	2	2	2.6%	0.21	0	0	84.8%	0.46	0	0	75.2%	1.91	2	2	4.1%

				Q8			
	1		# of lo	w flow t	oilets		
	0	1	2	3	>4	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	63.4%	11.6%	10.7%	4.1%	0.9%	9.4%	0.0%
Denver, Colorado	53.7%	21.5%	9.7%	3.2%	0.6%	9.7%	1.7%
Eugene, Oregon	58.6%	15.9%	9.2%	2.2%	0.2%	10.4%	3.5%
Seattle, Washington	57.7%	18.9%	10.1%	4.2%	0.8%	7.4%	0.8%
San Diego, California	35.9%	18.5%	28.6%	8.9%	0.8%	6.8%	0.4%
Tampa, Florida	47.8%	26.8%	9.0%	3.8%	0.8%	9.8%	1.9%
Phoenix, Arizona	45.1%	14.1%	19.0%	5.4%	0.7%	14.8%	0.9%
Tempe, Arizona	51.4%	13.6%	19.1%	2.3%	0.9%	10.5%	2.3%
Scottsdale, Arizona	42.9%	10.8%	18.9%	10.5%	4.5%	9.0%	3.3%
Waterico, Ontario	53.7%	18.9%	9.7%	4.2%	1.2%	10.0%	2.3%
Cambridge, Ontario	53.3%	20.3%	12.7%	2.0%	0.7%	9.5%	1.6%
Walnut Valley, California	39.3%	13.4%	21.1%	9.6%	0.5%	11.5%	4.5%
Las Virgenes, California	31.5%	13.0%	15.4%	16.9%	12.0%	10.3%	1.0%
Lompoc, California	40.3%	11.1%	34.5%	4.9%	0.2%	7.5%	1.5%

	<u> </u>	Q3	0e		Γ		30f	
	Teen	agers (13	-17) SUNIA	AER	Ch	ildren (<	13) SUMI	MER
	MEAN	MODE	MEDIAN	NR	MEAN	MODE	MEDIAN	NR
Boulder, Colorado	0.67	0	1	72.8%	1.08	1	1	63.4%
Denver, Colorado	0.82	0	1	73.2%	1.29	2	1	36.1%
Eugene, Oregon	0.94	1	1	75.5%	1.27	1	1	69.0%
Seattle, Washington	0.17	0	0	75.5%	0.36	0	0	69.0%
San Diego, California	0.71	0	1	76.6%	1.09	0	1	70.7%
Tampa, Fiorida	0.18	0	0	76.6%	0.33	0	0	70.7%
Phoenix, Arizona	0.88	1	1	74.9%	1.39	1	1	65.5%
Tempe, Arizona	0.77	1	1	78.2%	1.43	1	1	63.6%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.13	0	0	79.8%	0.29	0	0	69.6%
Waterico, Ontario	0.20	0	0	76.8%	0.47	0	0	66.0%
Cambridge, Ontario	0.30	0	0	71.6%	0.52	0	0	65.0%
Wainut Valley, California	1.01	1	1	0.0%	1.36	1	1	0.0%
Las Virgenes, California	0.22	0	0	74.9%	0.46	0	0	65.5%
Lompoc, California	0.20	0	0	85.7%	0.45	0	0	75 2%

									Q9								
	ł							lot si	ze squai	re feet							
	<2000	2-39 99	4-5999	6-79 99	8-9999	10-119 9 9	12-13 99 9	14-15999	16-179 9 9	18-1 3999	20-24998	25-2 99 99	30-34999	35-39995	>40000	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	1.5%	9.4%	12.4%	16.3%	13.5%	14.4%	4.4%	3.1%	2.0%	1.5%	3.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	1.7%	11.1%	3.9%
Denver, Colorado	7.7%	11.2%	9.7%	13.5%	7.5%	11.2%	2.4%	2.4%	0.4%	1.5%	4.7%	0.2%	1.5%	0.4%	4.7%	15.9%	8.2%
Eugene, Oregon	4.9%	9.4%	9.2%	9.8%	15.7%	12.7%	3.7%	2.0%	0.8%	2.0%	4.3%	1.6%	2.0%	0.2%	2.0%	13.1%	6.7%
Seattle, Washington	2.2%	6.8%	14.1%	15.7%	12.3%	15.9%	2.8%	3.0%	2.0%	0.6%	3.8%	0.6%	2.2%	0.4%	1.2%	12.5%	3.6%
San Diego, California	10.2%	8.7%	16.6%	14.3%	6.8%	8.7%	1.7%	2.7%	0.8%	1.0%	4.4%	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%	0.8%	16.2%	4.8%
Tampa, Florida	9.3%	6.6%	9.0%	9.8%	7.9%	12.3%	2.7%	3.3%	1.4%	2.5%	3.6%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	1.6%	21.3%	7.1%
hoenix, Arizona	6.3%	10.1%	3.5%	9.2%	12.2%	15.3%	2.8%	3.1%	1.2%	1.4%	5.4%	0.2%	1.6%	0.2%	4.2%	19.2%	4.0%
Tempe, Arizona	8.2%	5.5%	11.4%	11.8%	9.5%	15.5%	4.1%	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	2.3%	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.5%	16.8%	6.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	5.4%	7.5%	7.8%	8.7%	8.4%	14.7%	3.0%	4.5%	0.9%	1.8%	5.7%	0.9%	1.8%	1.8%	8.1%	10.8%	8.1%
Naterico, Ontario	5.4%	10.4%	18.1%	17.0%	12.7%	9.3%	1.5%	2.3%	1.2%	0.8%	2.3%	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	12.4%	4.2%
Cambridge, Ontario	6.5%	14.4%	17.3%	14.4%	6.5%	7.2%	2.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.3%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	18.3%	6.9%
Walnut Valley, California	3.5%	6.4%	6.4%	13.6%	11.8%	12.8%	6.1%	3.5%	1.3%	1.6%	4.5%	1.3%	1.6%	0.5%	4.0%	13.9%	7.0%
as Virgenes, California	3.7%	5.9%	6.6%	9.5%	6.4%	15.9%	6.1%	4.9%	2.0%	2.9%	6.6%	1.0%	2.0%	0.7%	11.7%	11.2%	2.9%
ompoc, California	6.2%	9.2%	9.2%	18.8%	9.2%	11.1%	2.1%	2.1%	0.4%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	21,2%	6.9%

				Q32									Q33					
	ŀ	numb	er of adu	its emplo	yed outs	ide house		1				year ho	use was b	uilt				
	0	1	2	3	4	>=6	NR	<1960	1960-69	1970-74	1975-79	1980-84	1985-89	1990-92	1993-94	>1994	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	26.8%	42.7%	26.8%	5.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	32.7%	32.5%	10.9%	6.8%	3.7%	7.0%	3.3%	1.7%	0.0%	1.3%	0.2%
Denver, Colorado	27.7%	37.1%	28.8%	3.9%	0.4%	0.2%	1.9%	50.9%	12.7%	8.6%	10.3%	6.4%	3.2%	1.7%	1.1%	0.4%	2.6%	1.5%
Eugene, Oregon	32.2%	36.1%	27.8%	2.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.8%	35.1%	22.5%	8.4%	13.1%	3.9%	5.1%	5.7%	1.4%	0.6%	3.7%	0.4%
Seattle, Washington	27.4%	35.6%	31.6%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	55.5%	15.3%	5.4%	6.4%	3.2%	3.8%	3.4%	1.6%	0.6%	4.0%	0.6%
San Diego, California	35.1%	33.2%	23.2%	6.0%	0.6%	0.2%	1.7%	43.8%	18.0%	9.5%	7.9%	4.1%	7.1%	1.9%	2.1%	0.4%	3.9%	1.2%
Tampa, Florida	28.1%	33.1%	30.9%	4.6%	0.8%	0.3%	2.2%	43.4%	17.5%	7.4%	5.5%	5.7%	5.2%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%	8.2%	2.5%
hoenix, Arizona	22.5%	37.1%	34.3%	4.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	24.2%	10.6%	13.6%	12.2%	9.9%	12.9%	4.7%	4.0%	3.5%	3.5%	0.9%
Tempe, Arizona	13.2%	37.7%	38.2%	6.4%	0.5%	0.9%	3.2%	7.3%	27.7%	18.6%	14.1%	8.6%	8.6%	2.7%	3.2%	3.6%	4.1%	1.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	34.2%	34.8%	21.9%	4.8%	0.3%	0.6%	3,3%	10.5%	19.5%	7.5%	8.1%	12.0%	15.0%	6.9%	7.2%	10.5%	1.2%	1.5%
Materico, Ontario	22.8%	39.8%	33.6%	1.5%	0.8%	0.0%	1.5%	23.2%	16.6%	7.3%	10.4%	12.4%	18.5%	6.6%	1.9%	2.3%	0.4%	0.4%
Cambridge, Ontario	18.0%	37.3%	36.3%	3.9%	1.0%	0.0%	3.6%	27.8%	14.1%	10.8%	11.1%	2.0%	15.4%	7.2%	3.9%	2.0%	3.6%	2.3%
Valnut Valley, California	13.1%	32.4%	43.6%	7.5%	1.9%	0.5%	1.1%	3.2%	31.6%	10.7%	16.0%	8.8%	24.9%	1.1%	0.5%	0.5%	2.4%	0.3%
as Virgenes, California	22.5%	40.3%	27.9%	5.6%	0.7%	0.0%	2.9%	6.4%	22.5%	13.2%	9.3%	10.3%	23.2%	9.0%	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%	1.5%
omnoc California	36 2%	28.9%	28.9%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	3.2%	22.3%	33.6%	2.6%	5.1%	9.4%	13.5%	5.4%	0.6%	1.9%	4.1%	1.5%

			Q10)									Q11				<u></u>		
	P	ercent of to	tal lot that	is land:	caped are	a	ł				Percer	it of Land	iscaped	area that	t is lawn				
	Mean	Mode	Median	0%	D.Know	NR	0	1-10%	11-20%	21-30%	31-40%	41-50%	51-80%	61-70%	71-80%	81-90%	ነ 1-100ን	D.Know	NR
	(Problem	Question	s)																
Boulder, Colorado	55.7%	50.0%	50.0%	0.7%	8.7%	1.7%	2.6%	2.6%	5.2%	8.5%	7.8%	11.8%	8.7%	14.4%	16.3%	9.6%	6.1%	4.4%	2.0%
Denver, Colorado	19.6%	5.0%	6.0%	0.9%	17.4%	2.8%	0.4%	5.4%	5.4%	7.3%	10.3%	11.4%	8.6%	9.7%	14.8%	8.2%	7.3%	8.2%	3.2%
Eugene, Oregon	49.8%	50.0%	50.0%	1.6%	18.8%	4.1%	9.2%	8.4%	8.4%	9.8%	7.6%	10.6%	8.6%	9.2%	9.0%	5.7%	1.0%	8.0%	4.3%
Seattle, Washington	55.8%	50.0%	50.0%	1.6%	18.8%	4.1%	7.0%	11.1%	9.9%	10.5%	9.3%	11.9%	7.8%	9.3%	8.7%	4.8%	2.6%	5.4%	1.8%
San Diego, California	45.9%	99.0%	40.0%	1.6%	18.8%	4.1%	16.2%	18.3%	13.9%	8.7%	6.8%	8.5%	5.2%	3.9%	5.2%	3.3%	2.3%	6.0%	1.7%
Tampa, Florida	41.6%	50.0%	40.0%	4.9%	26.5%	3.0%	2.7%	10.7%	7.7%	10.9%	9.0%	7.1%	5.2%	7.9%	7.4%	6.3%	5.2%	14.8%	5.2%
Phoenix, Arizona	38.4%	50.0%	35.0%	2.6%	17.4%	2.3%	18.1%	14.1%	11.5%	7.5%	6.6%	8.7%	3.8%	4.7%	7.7%	3.8%	5.6%	5.6%	2.3%
Tempe, Arizona	37.2%	50.0%	30.0%	2.3%	16.4%	2.3%	17.3%	9.1%	9.5%	10.0%	5.0%	10.5%	2.3%	4.1%	10.0%	6.4%	8.2%	5.0%	2.7%
Scottsdale, Arizona	36.7%	50.0%	30.0%	1.6%	14.1%	3.4%	30.6%	17.4%	9.3%	6.9%	5.4%	6.3%	4.8%	3.0%	1.8%	2.1%	4.2%	2.7%	5.4%
Waterico, Ontario	54.1%	60.0%	60.0%	0.4%	17.0%	3.1%	0.8%	1.5%	3.1%	8.9%	6.2%	9.3%	7.3%	15.8%	14.3%	10.0%	10.0%	8.1%	4.6%
Cambridge, Ontario	49.7%	50.0%	50.0%	1.6%	21.9%	4.2%	0.3%	4.2%	5.2%	7.8%	9.5%	11.1%	8.8%	9.8%	11.8%	9.8%	6.5%	10.5%	4.6%
Walnut Valley, California	14.4%	5.0%	5.0%	0.5%	13.6%	0.0%	4.3%	11.8%	15.0%	9.6%	8.8%	9.1%	5.1%	11.0%	7.2%	5.1%	2.7%	5.1%	5.3%
Las Virgenes, California	39.4%	50.0%	39.0%	1.5%	17.4%	1.0%	12.5%	11.7%	14.9%	11.7%	8.8%	10.5%	6.6%	6.1%	6.4%	2.4%	1.7%	5.6%	1.0%
Lompoc, California	42.1%	50.0%	40.0%	1.1%	19.9%	3.0%	7.9%	12.0%	10.5%	10.9%	8.8%	9.6%	4.5%	7.3%	8.6%	4.7%	3.0%	9.0%	3.2%

		Q	 											Q35									
ł												***			inside ho	1154							
1			urrent add										•	-								- 4	
[Mean	Mode	Median	NR	<800	\$0 0-999	<1199	<1399	<1535	<1793	<1555	<21 55	<2399	<2533	<27 33	<2333	<3199	<3399	<3599	<3799	>3800	D.Know	MR
Boulder, Colorado	1983	1995	1987	1.1%	0.4%	1.3%	5.9%	8.6%	7.2%	5.2%	8.1%	8.7%	10.2%	9.2%	5.7%	3.7%	5.2%	3.9%	3.1%	2.4%	6.1%	5.5%	2.4%
	1981	1994	1987	2.8%	2.2%	6.7%	7.7%	8.2%	5.8%	5.6%	6.7%	7.3%	7.1%	7.1%	5.4%	3.9%	3.4%	2.4%	1.9%	0.3%	4.9%	9.9%	3.4%
Denver, Colorado						5.3%				11.4%	9.0%	6.3%	5.3%	4.7%	2.2%	1.8%	2.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	6.9%	1.6%
Eugene, Oregon	1981	1994	1987	1.0%	1.4%		12.4%	14.1%	13.5%														
Seattle, Washington	1981	1992	1981	1.0%	1.2%	4.6%	5.6%	8.9%	5.6%	7.2%	8.9%	9.3%	8.0%	5.2%	5.2%	3.2%	4.2%	1.8%	2.8%	1.6%	5.0%	8.9%	2.6%
San Diego, California	1981	1994	1983	2.1%	1.5%	5.2%	7.7%	12.2%	12.2%	10.2%	10.0%	8.7%	6.6%	5.4%	2.1%	3.3%	1.9%	0.2%	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	7.7%	2.7%
Tampa, Florida	1981	1995	1985	4.1%	4.1%	7.9%	11.5%	10.9%	9.0%	8.7%	6.8%	5.5%	3.6%	2.5%	2.2%	1.4%	1.9%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%	16.9%	4.4%
Phoenix, Arizona	1981	1994	1989	1.6%	0.9%	3.3%	8.2%	8.7%	9.2%	12.4%	12.4%	7.0%	8.5%	5.4%	2.6%	2.8%	2.1%	1.4%	1.6%	0.9%	3.1%	7.0%	2.3%
Tempe, Arizona	1986	1995	1989	2.3%	0.5%	0.9%	3.2%	11.8%	13.6%	10.0%	12.7%	13.6%	5.0%	8.2%	3.6%	2.3%	2.3%	1.8%	0.9%	0.5%	1.4%	5.9%	1.8%
Scottsdale, Arizona	1987	1995	1990	1.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.9%	3.9%	11.7%	11.7%	9.6%	13.8%	7.5%	7.5%	4.2%	6.0%	5.1%	2.1%	2.4%	2.1%	6.3%	2.4%	1.5%
Waterico, Ontario	1981	1993	1986	2.7%	0.0%	2.3%	11.2%	12.7%	5.4%	6.9%	8.9%	9.3%	4.6%	6.9%	3.5%	7.3%	4.6%	2.3%	0.8%	0.4%	3.1%	6.9%	2.7%
Cambridge, Ontario	1977	1995	1988	4.2%	1.0%	3.9%	15.4%	14.4%	8.8%	4.9%	8.8%	8.8%	3.6%	3.3%	3.9%	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%	1.6%	0.3%	1.6%	9.8%	5.9%
Wainut Valley, California	1979	1986	1986	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	1.1%	7.2%	11.2%	12.8%	15.0%	13.1%	7.8%	8.8%	5.6%	4.5%	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%	0.5%	1.1%	4.5%	1.1%
Las Virgenes, California	1981	1991	1987	1.0%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	1.5%	5.4%	5.4%	4.6%	6.6%	8.1%	8.1%	7.1%	4.9%	9.5%	6.4%	4.9%	3.2%	19.1%	1.7%	1.5%
Lompos, California	1981	1987	1986	1.0%	0.6%	2.6%	5.1%	12.6%	14.3%	10.9%	13.7%	7.5%	7.1%	2.4%	1.5%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	13.9%	4.7%

							Q12						
	1				Percen	it of Lanc	iscaped:	area thai	t is irrigate	ed			
	0	1-10%	11-20%	21-30%	31-40%	41-50%	51- 6 0%	61-70%	71-80%	81-90%	91-100%	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	2.8%	3.1%	5.5%	5.2%	5.9%	5.9%	5.2%	7.6%	13.9%	10.5%	28.1%	3.9%	2.4%
Denver, Colorado	0.6%	4.9%	4.1%	4.3%	6.7%	9.0%	5.8%	7.5%	9.2%	9.4%	27.7%	7.5%	3.2%
Eugene, Oregon	5.7%	11.2%	7.1%	6.9%	4.3%	8.0%	4.5%	5.9%	8.4%	7.8%	18.2%	6.5%	5.5%
Seattle, Washington	6.2%	14.7%	12.9%	11.3%	7.2%	8.0%	5.6%	5.2%	5.6%	3.6%	11.9%	4.8%	2.8%
San Diego, California	3.9%	13.9%	12.2%	7.3%	4.4%	7.9%	5.2%	5.0%	5.2%	5.8%	21.4%	5.2%	2.7%
Tampa, Florida	19.4%	18.3%	10.7%	5.5%	4.1%	6.8%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	3.3%	13.1%	7.7%	3.0%
Phoenix, Arizona	5.2%	16.2%	11.0%	9.2%	6.1%	10.3%	3.1%	3.5%	5.9%	3.5%	16.4%	6.3%	3.3%
Tempe, Arizona	5.0%	13.6%	9.1%	7.3%	7.7%	8.6%	3.6%	2.3%	7.7%	6.4%	21.4%	4.5%	2.7%
Scottsdale, Arizona	2.7%	19.8%	10.8%	8.4%	5.1%	9.0%	3.6%	3.6%	4.2%	3.3%	18.0%	4.5%	6.9%
Waterloo, Ontario	11.6%	14.7%	11.6%	10.4%	6.9%	6.6%	6.2%	3.5%	4.6%	4.2%	10.0%	6.2%	3.5%
Cambridge, Ontario	9.2%	14.7%	11.8%	11.4%	6.2%	7.8%	5.9%	5.9%	3.3%	3.9%	9.2%	7.8%	2.9%
Walnut Valley, California	1.1%	6.4%	5.9%	7.8%	6.7%	7.8%	4.8%	7.8%	7.5%	7.2%	26.5%	5.3%	5.3%
Las Virgenes, California	1.2%	5.9%	4.4%	5.1%	2.2%	4.9%	3.7%	3.2%	7.1%	7.3%	49.1%	3.9%	2.0%
Lompoc, California	4.5%	12.8%	9.2%	9.2%	8.6%	8.4%	3.6%	5.6%	7.9%	5.8%	16.5%	5.4%	2.6%

)3 6			Q37	
	ł	number	of floors	5	re	nt or owr	1
	1	2	>=3	NR	Rent	Own	NR
Boulder, Colorado	23.3%	49.9%	26.1%	0.7%	11.8%	87.8%	0.4%
Denver, Colorado	22.3%	52.2%	24.9%	0.6%	5.6%	93.8%	0.6%
Eugene, Oregon	67.6%	26.7%	5.3%	0.4%	12.5%	87.1%	0.4%
Seattle, Washington	29.2%	54.1%	16.1%	0.6%	10.1%	89.1%	0.8%
San Diego, California	67.8%	27.0%	3.9%	1.2%	10.4%	88.4%	1.2%
Tampa, Fiorida	82.2%	10.4%	4.6%	2.7%	10.4%	89.1%	0.5%
Phoenix, Arizona	85.0%	10.1%	3.5%	1.4%	5.6%	91.5%	2.8%
Tempe, Arizona	88.2%	8.2%	2.7%	0.9%	9.5%	89.1%	1.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	82.9%	13.5%	2.4%	1.2%	5.4%	92.8%	1.8%
Waterico, Ontario	3.1%	49.8%	46.3%	0.8%	1.9%	96.1%	1.9%
Cambridge, Ontario	8.2%	48.4%	39.5%	3.9%	5.6%	89.5%	4.9%
Walnut Valley, California	46.8%	47.6%	4.8%	0.8%	5.3%	94.7%	0.0%
Las Virgenes, California	32.0%	60.9%	5.9%	1.2%	4.4%	94.1%	1.5%
Lompoc, California	82.4%	9.9%	6.2%	1.5%	12.2%	85.7%	2.1%

							Q13						
	Į.				day	/s each w	eek of Y	VINTER I	rrigation				
	٥	<2/mon.	iew/mon	<1/week	1/week	2/week	3/week	4/week	5/week	6/week	7/week.	_D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	68.2%	28.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Denver, Colorado	75.5%	19.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.59
Eugene, Oregon	91.4%	3.3%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.49
Seattle, Washington	93.8%	4.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.09
San Diego, California	13.7%	16.2%	16.6%	6.4%	17.0%	14.7%	8.7%	1.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%	2.7%	1.09
Tampa, Florida	33.6%	18.6%	12.0%	7.1%	9.0%	15.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	1.49
Phoenix, Arizona	16.2%	22.8%	13.1%	7.5%	10.6%	13.8%	8.7%	2.3%	0.2%	0.5%	2.6%	0.9%	0.79
Tempe, Arizona	16.8%	24.5%	12.3%	5.5%	12.7%	11.8%	7.3%	2.3%	0.5%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	1.89
Scottsdale, Arizona	6.9%	12.6%	14.4%	5.4%	17.4%	17.1%	11.1%	2.4%	2.1%	0.6%	5.7%	0.9%	3.39
Waterico, Ontario	98.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.29
Cambridge, Ontario	97.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.39
Walnut Valley, California	9.1%	15.5%	13.9%	4.8%	14.2%	14.7%	14.2%	2.7%	1.1%	0.5%	3.5%	1.6%	4.39
Las Virgenes, California	7.8%	7.3%	12.5%	4.4%	16.6%	24.4%	19.8%	2.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	2.2%	1.09
Lompoc, California	32.1%	18.4%	16.5%	5.8%	10.9%	8.1%	3.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	21%	2.19

							Q38	3					
	j						monthly	rent \$					
	Don't Reni	<300	<399	<499	<599	<699	<799	<899	<999	<12 49	<1499	<1749	<1999
Boulder, Colorado	46.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	5.0%	2.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Denver, Colorado	55.8%	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Eugene, Oregon	55.5%	1.0%	1.0%	1.6%	3.5%	2.5%	2.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Seattle, Washington	54.9%	0.4%	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%	1.4%	1.6%	2.2%	0.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
San Diego, California	48.8%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	1.5%	1.5%	2.1%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tampa, Florida	52.5%	3.6%	0.8%	1.6%	3.6%	1.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Phoenix, Arizona	53.1%	0.9%	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tempe, Arizona	42.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.8%	2.7%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Scottsdale, Arizona	36.3%	1.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	1.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Waterico, Ontario	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cambridge, Ontario	52.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	1.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Walnut Valley, California	36.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	1.1%	2.7%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Las Virgenes, California	37.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	0.7%	1.0%
Lompoc, California	44.8%	1.1%	0.6%	0.9%	2.4%	1.7%	2.1%	3.0%	1.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%

						***************************************	Q14										Q15			
	[days ea	ch week	of SUMI	AER irri	gation						oth	er available	sources o	f outdoor w	ater	
	0	<2/mon.	iew/mon	<1/week	1/week	2/week	3/week	4/week	5/week	6/week	7/week	D.Know	NR	None	Canal	Cistern	Weii	Stream	Other	NR
Boulder, Colorado	2.2%	2.0%	2.2%	1.7%	8.3%	25.1%	37.5%	9.6%	4.8%	0.7%	4.4%	1.5%	0.2%	93.7%	0.7%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%
Denver, Colorado	0.2%	0.6%	2.4%	1.3%	6.9%	30.9%	41.6%	8.2%	3.0%	0.2%	1.9%	0.9%	1.9%	93.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	3.7%
Eugene, Oregon	4.3%	2.5%	5.5%	2.2%	14.5%	21.4%	24.9%	9.0%	4.5%	1.6%	4.9%	1.8%	2.9%	83.1%	0.0%	0.2%	11.4%	0.0%	1.2%	3.9%
Seattle, Washington	5.6%	4.0%	10.5%	5.0%	15.5%	24.7%	21.7%	5.8%	3.2%	0.6%	1.8%	0.6%	0.8%	92.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	4.2%	2.6%
San Diego, California	3.5%	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%	7.9%	21.0%	28.2%	10.8%	6.2%	2.7%	7.1%	2.9%	0.2%	94.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%	2.1%
Tampa, Florida	19.9%	11,2%	10.7%	5.7%	13.9%	29.8%	2.7%	1.9%	0.8%	0.3%	0.5%	1.4%	1.1%	81.7%	0.0%	0.3%	10.7%	0.0%	4.4%	3.0%
Phoenix, Arizona	4.7%	3.5%	4.7%	1.4%	8.9%	16.4%	30.3%	10.8%	6.8%	1.9%	8.5%	1.2%	0.9%	89.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	3.1%	2.6%
Tempe, Arizona	2.7%	3.6%	4.5%	1.4%	12.3%	14.5%	20.0%	10.9%	7.3%	5.5%	12.7%	2.7%	1.8%	0.0%	91.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
Scottsdale, Arizona	1.8%	0.9%	3.3%	3.0%	6.9%	18.0%	20.4%	13.5%	9.9%	1.8%	16.5%	0.3%	3.6%	92.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1.2%	5.1%
Waterico, Ontario	8.9%	12.4%	16.2%	6.6%	16.6%	17.0%	12.7%	4.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	2.3%	87.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	4.2%	6.9%
Cambridge, Ontario	7.5%	12.7%	12.1%	3.9%	18.6%	19.3%	15.7%	4.6%	2.0%	1.0%	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	85.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	5.2%
Walnut Valley, California	0.8%	1.6%	2.1%	0.8%	5.6%	12.0%	20.3%	11.5%	10.2%	3.7%	27.3%	0.0%	4.0%	90.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	7.8%
Las Virgenes, California	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	3.4%	7.1%	18.8%	17.1%	10.8%	9.8%	27.9%	0.7%	0.5%	93.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	4.2%
Lompoc, California	2.8%	4.5%	8.8%	5.1%	15.6%	22.3%	23.1%	6.6%	3.2%	1.9%	2.8%	1.5%	1.7%	92.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.7%	5.4%

		Q	38		I								C	39						
	l	month	ly rent \$									ma	ırket valı	e of hous	e \$		•			
	<2249	>=2500	D.Know	NR	Don't own	<25000	<49000	<74999	<90800	<124999	<149999	<174999	<199999	<224999	<249900	<27 4999	<299999	<349999	<399990	<449999
Boulder, Colorado	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	3.9%	6.5%	12.2%	12.2%	11.6%	7.8%	4.4%	10.5%	6.3%	3.1%
Denver, Colorado	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.6%	2.8%	0.0%	2.2%	7.5%	13.7%	16.7%	10.1%	10.5%	8.8%	6.7%	2.2%	1.9%	2.2%	2.2%	0.6%	1.1%
Eugene, Oregon	0.0%	0.0%	31.4%	0.0%	7.6%	0.8%	2.0%	5.3%	17.6%	19.8%	14.1%	10.0%	5.3%	2.7%	1.8%	0.8%	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Seattle, Washington	0.0%	0.0%	34.6%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.6%	6.8%	10.5%	12.9%	12.7%	8.9%	7.0%	5.8%	2.2%	4.2%	3.6%	1.0%
San Diego, California	0.2%	0.0%	39.6%	0.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	2.3%	6.0%	10.4%	16.2%	10.4%	7.1%	7.9%	3.9%	3.5%	5.0%	4.4%	2.1%
Tampa, Florida	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	6.3%	2.7%	14.8%	24.9%	15.3%	7.1%	4.6%	2.2%	3.6%	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Phoenix, Arizona	0.0%	0.0%	40.6%	0.0%	4.7%	0.5%	6.1%	20.4%	20.4%	11.0%	11.7%	3.8%	2.6%	2.3%	2.3%	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%	0.9%	0.5%
Tempe, Arizona	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	44.5%	6.4%	0.0%	0.5%	7.7%	24.5%	24.5%	14.5%	4.1%	3.2%	1.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.3%	0.0%	56.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	9.3%	12.3%	12.3%	10.2%	6.0%	5.4%	5.7%	5.4%	2.7%	6.0%	2.1%	1.2%
Waterioo, Ontario	0.0%	0.0%	42.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	9.3%	23.2%	15.8%	13.1%	8.1%	5.8%	3.9%	3.5%	3.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Cambridge, Ontario	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	41.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	4.2%	17.3%	27.1%	12.4%	10.8%	5.6%	0.7%	1.3%	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Walnut Valley, California	0.5%	0.0%	50.8%	0.0%	2.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	9.4%	16.3%	14.7%	11.5%	10.2%	6.1%	8.0%	4.3%	1.9%
Las Virgenes, California	1.2%	0.0%	55.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	2.0%	4.4%	3.9%	4.2%	4.9%	10.3%	9.0%	9.5%
I omnoc. California	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	38.8%	8.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	1.5%	13.7%	32.8%	16.3%	10.3%	2.4%	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%	0 4%	0.2%	0.0%

		6a		6 b		i s c	-	i Gd		6e		Gf		6g
	no sprinkl	ing system	in-ground	front yard	in-ground	back yard	drip in	rigation	soake	r hose	hose w/s	sprinkler		eld hose
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Boulder, Colorado	7.4%	92.6%	47.1%	52.9%	43.6%	56.4%	1.1%	88.7%	12.0%	88.0%	52.5%	47.5%	27.7%	72.3%
Denver, Colorado	9.7%	90.1%	47.2%	52.8%	44.2%	55.8%	5.2%	94.9%	8.8%	91.2%	45.1%	54.9%	25.1%	74.9%
Eugene, Oregon	9.4%	90.6%	27.8%	72.2%	24.7%	75.3%	4.9%	95.1%	20.2%	79.8%	58.0%	42.0%	35.5%	64.5%
Seattle, Washington	14.7%	85.3%	12.5%	87.5%	10.3%	89.7%	5.0%	95.0%	17.3%	82.7%	59.8%	40.2%	48.7%	51.3%
San Diego, California	10.6%	89.4%	53.7%	46.3%	46.7%	53.3%	15.8%	84.2%	8.5%	91.5%	27.2%	72.8%	42.1%	57.9%
Tampa, Florida	23.5%	76.5%	31.7%	68.3%	23.0%	77.0%	2.2%	97.8%	3.3%	96.7%	24.9%	75.1%	36.1%	63.9%
Phoenix, Arizona	14.1%	85.9%	35.4%	64.6%	41.5%	58.5%	31.7%	68.3%	5.9%	94.1%	21.6%	78.4%	30.3%	69.7%
Tempe, Arizona	8.6%	91.4%	44.5%	55.5%	51.8%	48.2%	36.8%	63.2%	4.5%	95.5%	24.1%	75.9%	23.6%	76.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	5.7%	94.3%	46.5%	53.5%	50.8%	49.2%	52.3%	47.7%	5.4%	94.6%	15.0%	85.0%	26.4%	73.6%
Waterloo, Ontario	13.1%	86.9%	3.1%	96.9%	2.7%	97.3%	0.4%	99.6%	8.9%	91.1%	58.7%	41.3%	49.8%	50.2%
Cambridge, Ontario	14.1%	85.9%	2.6%	97.4%	1.6%	98.4%	0.7%	99.3%	7.8%	92.2%	63.1%	36.9%	48.7%	51.3%
Walnut Valley, California	5.1%	94.9%	79.9%	20.1%	70.1%	29.9%	11.5%	88.5%	4.3%	95.7%	18.4%	81.6%	35.3%	64.7%
Las Virgenes, California	4.9%	95.1%	85.8%	14.2%	80.0%	20.0%	22.5%	77.5%	7.6%	92.4%	13.2%	86.8%	35.0%	65.0%
Lompoc. California	13.7%	86.3%	49.9%	50.1%	35.5%	64.5%	15.8%	84.2%	6.0%	94.0%	37.5%	62.5%	42.8%	57.2%

	<u>Г</u>		G	39						Q40			
		r	arket valu	e of house	\$		i		highe	st level of	education		
	<499699	<749999	<999999	<=1million	D.Know	NR	<#S	High Sch.	<bach.< th=""><th>Bach.</th><th>Masters</th><th>Doctor.</th><th>NR</th></bach.<>	Bach.	Masters	Doctor.	NR
Boulder, Colorado	2.0%	2.0%	0.2%	0.2%	4.6%	5.7%	0.7%	6.1%	NA	44.7%	27.0%	19.4%	2.2%
Denver, Colorado	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.2%	5.6%	3.9%	5.6%	17.2%	24.5%	27.9%	16.3%	5.4%	3.2%
Eugene, Oregon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	4.7%	21.2%	29.0%	23.1%	12.9%	6.9%	2.2%
Seattle, Washington	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%	0.6%	6.0%	0.0%	4.2%	14.3%	22.3%	34.2%	14.5%	8.2%	2.2%
San Diego, California	1.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%	5.6%	13.7%	30.5%	24.7%	14.3%	8.3%	2.9%
Tampa, Florida	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	7.4%	0.0%	12.6%	25.1%	24.3%	19.4%	9.3%	3.8%	5.5%
Phoenix, Arizona	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	2.8%	0.0%	7.7%	18.1%	34.7%	20.9%	8.9%	6.3%	3.3%
Tempe, Arizona	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	5.9%	2.7%	11.4%	25.0%	30.9%	15.9%	8.6%	5.5%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.9%	0.0%	2.1%	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%	2.1%	10.8%	24.3%	37.8%	15.6%	6.3%	3.0%
Vaterico, Ontario	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	10.8%	18.5%	23.2%	27.8%	6.6%	6.9%	6.2%
Cambridge, Ontario	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	6.5%	6.9%	20.3%	25.5%	24.2%	16.3%	3.3%	2.0%	8.5%
Mainut Valley, California	1.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%	3.7%	0.0%	2.9%	14.7%	29.7%	33.4%	14.2%	2.7%	2.4%
as Virgenes, California	6.6%	0.0%	8.8%	5.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.7%	7.6%	22.2%	31.5%	18.3%	14.4%	5.1%
Lompoc, California	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	5.8%	19.3%	36.2%	21.4%	8.8%	2.6%	60%

§	Q1	6h	I		Q17			I		Q18		
	oti	ner	open	ation of front	yard in-group	d sprinkler sy	s io m	open	tion of back	yard in-groun	d sprinkler sy	stem
	YES	NO	None	Hand	Timer	D.Know	NR	None	Hand	Timer	D.Know	NR
Boulder, Colorado	1.5%	98.5%	45.8%	12.2%	36.2%	0.0%	5.9%	48.2%	10.2%	34.4%	0.2%	7.0%
Denver, Colorado	0.6%	99.4%	48.3%	4.9%	42.7%	0.4%	3.7%	49.4%	3.9%	41.0%	0.4%	5.4%
Eugene, Oregon	1.4%	98.6%	63.7%	10.4%	18.0%	0.4%	7.5%	67.3%	8.8%	16.3%	0.2%	7.5%
Seattle, Washington	2.4%	97.6%	79.9%	3.2%	9.3%	0.0%	7.6%	79.9%	3.0%	8.5%	0.0%	8.7%
San Diego, California	2.7%	97.3%	40.7%	21.6%	35.1%	0.4%	2.3%	48.8%	18.5%	30.5%	0.8%	1.5%
Tampa, Florida	1.1%	98.9%	63.7%	14.2%	17.8%	1.1%	3,3%	72.4%	9.3%	14.5%	0.8%	3.0%
Phoenix, Arizona	1.6%	98.4%	52.8%	11.3%	31.2%	0.7%	4.0%	49.8%	9.9%	36.4%	0.2%	3.8%
Tempe, Arizona	2.3%	97.7%	45.0%	14.5%	35.5%	0.5%	4.5%	41.4%	12.7%	42.3%	0.9%	2.7%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.6%	99.4%	29.1%	9.3%	57.1%	0.9%	3.6%	28.2%	7.2%	61.0%	0.3%	3.3%
Waterico, Ontario	3.1%	96.9%	83.4%	0.4%	2.7%	0.4%	13.1%	83.4%	0.0%	2.7%	0.8%	13.1%
Cambridge, Ontario	1.6%	98.4%	87.6%	1.6%	1.0%	0.3%	9.5%	88.6%	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%	9.8%
Walnut Valley, California	0.5%	99.5%	13.1%	28.1%	57.0%	0.0%	1.9%	22.2%	22.7%	51.9%	0.0%	3.2%
Las Virgenes, California	1.5%	98.5%	10.5%	11.5%	76.0%	0.5%	1.5%	14.4%	10.8%	72.1%	0.2%	2.4%
Lompoc, California	1.9%	98.1%	44.1%	27.0%	25.7%	0.4%	2.8%	57.6%	19.3%	19.7%	0.4%	3.0%

		······································				Q41						
	,				g	ross annual	income \$					
	<10000	<19999	<29999	<3 999 9	<49999	<59999	<69999	<79399	<89 9 99	<99999	<113999	<129995
Boulder, Colorado	1.3%	4.4%	6.5%	7.8%	11.3%	8.5%	8.5%	8.7%	6.3%	4.6%	8.3%	1.7%
Denver, Colorado	4.9%	9.2%	9.7%	10.7%	11.4%	7.5%	9.0%	7.5%	3.4%	3.7%	3.0%	0.6%
Eugene, Oregon	5.3%	10.8%	16.3%	12.0%	11.4%	9.2%	6.9%	6.7%	2.5%	2.0%	2.0%	0.4%
Seattle, Washington	1.6%	6.0%	9.1%	10.5%	11.3%	8.9%	9.1%	7.2%	4.4%	2.8%	2.8%	2.2%
San Diego, California	3.3%	10.4%	9.3%	12.4%	8.7%	8.5%	7.9%	3.5%	5.0%	3.3%	4.1%	1.5%
Tampa, Florida	8.5%	16.7%	11.2%	11.2%	9.3%	7.9%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%	2.2%	1.1%	0.8%
Phoenix, Arizona	2.6%	9.2%	11.5%	12.7%	11.5%	10.3%	6.6%	3.3%	3.8%	2.1%	3.3%	0.7%
Tempe, Arizona	0.9%	2.3%	9.1%	7.7%	9.5%	12.7%	10.0%	7.3%	7.7%	4.1%	3.6%	1.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	0.3%	2.7%	4.2%	8.1%	8.7%	6.9%	6.3%	9.0%	3.0%	3.3%	6.0%	1.5%
Waterico, Ontario	0.0%	3.1%	3.9%	8.9%	6.6%	10.0%	8.5%	7.7%	5.4%	3.9%	3.5%	1.9%
Cambridge, Ontario	0.7%	6.2%	4.2%	7.8%	11.8%	11.8%	10.5%	7.8%	2.9%	3.9%	3.9%	0.3%
Walnut Valley, California	0.5%	1.9%	4.8%	5.9%	7.8%	9.9%	12.8%	10.7%	5.3%	5.3%	7.2%	2.4%
Las Virgenes, California	1.7%	1.2%	1.5%	3.4%	4.2%	3.4%	3.4%	5.9%	4.2%	10.80%	5.13%	3.42%
Lompor, California	4.1%	7.9%	11.3%	12.8%	9.6%	10.7%	8.1%	6.6%	3.4%	2.8%	2.1%	0.2%

				2						2	3		
		type of	soil or rain	type of soil or rain sensor shut off device (SOD)	ut off device	(SOD)			landscap	landscape appearance	nce score	e score (5 = best)	
	No Spkir.	No SOD	Soil Sens	Soil Sens Rain Sens Soil+Rain	SouthRain	D.Know	×	•	N	å.	•	GT.	N.R.
Boulder, Colorado	47.7%	39.4%	0.4%	6.5%	0.4%	3.1%	8.3%	3.7%	11.6%	30.5%	38.6%	13.1%	2.6%
Denver, Colorado	50.2%	36.5%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	5.4%	6.2%	2.2%	6.9%	32.8%	39.9%	13.1%	5.2%
Eugene, Oregon	67.6%	16.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	2.0%	13.5%	3.9%	11.6%	29.2%	32.7%	17.1%	5.1%
Seattle, Washington	80.1%	8.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	1.2%	9.9%	4.2%	13.9%	33.4%	32.0%	13.1%	3.0%
San Diego, California	53.3%	30.9%	0.2%	2.3%	0.8%	5.6%	6.8%	5.4%	13.9%	26.6%	32.2%	16.8%	4.4%
Tampa, Florida	73.5%	12.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	3.6%	6.3%	10.4%	14.2%	31.7%	21.6%	11.2%	9.6%
Phoenix, Arizona	52.6%	34.3%	0.9%	0.5%	0.2%	4.5%	7.0%	4.5%	13.6%	27.5%	31.5%	19.0%	3.5%
Tempe. Arizona	48.6%	35.0%	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%	5.0%	8.6%	4.1%	7.3%	25.9%	36.4%	19.5%	6.8%
Scottsdale, Arizona	30.6%	50.8%	1.2%	2.1%	0.6%	8.7%	6.0%	2.4%	4.8%	19.2%	36.9%	33.3%	3.3%
Waterioo, Ontario	82.2%	1.2%	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	14.7%	2.7%	9.7%	31.7%	42.1%	8.1%	5.8%
Cambridge, Ontario	88.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	10.5%	3.9%	10.5%	39.9%	28.8%	8.5%	8.5%
Walnut Valley, California	31.3%	47.3%	0.5%	2.7%	1.3%	5.9%	11.0%	0.8%	5.9%	30.5%	40.4%	19.5%	2.9%
Las Virgenes, California	25.2%	58.4%	0.2%	4.2%	0.7%	4.9%	6.4%	2.7%	4.6%	15.9%	38.9%	34.2%	3.7%
Lompoc, California	56.7%	24.0%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	4.3%	13.3%	2.8%	10.9%	27.2%	31.5%	22.7%	4.9%
					Q41	H							
					gross annual income \$	al income	•						
	<139999	<143059	<169999	<163339	<175959	<183589	<199999	>=200000	D.Know	ķ	•		
Boulder, Colorado	1.1%	0.7%	2.0%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	5.7%	10.0%	•		
Denver, Colorado	0.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	1.7%	5.4%	9.2%			
Eugene, Oregon	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	3.1%	9.4%			
Seattle, Washington	1.6%	1.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	4.2%	12.7%			
San Diego, California	0.6%	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	11.6%			
Tampa, Florida	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	11.5%			
Phoenix, Arizona	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	4.2%	13.6%			
Tempe, Arizona	1.4%	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	5.9%			
Scottsdale, Arizona	2.7%	1.5%	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	7.5%	16.8%			
Waterloo, Ontario	3.1%	2.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	9.7%	17.4%			
Cambridge, Ontario	1.3%	1.3%	% 0.0	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	8.8%			
Walnut Valley, California	2.4%	2.7%	1.3%	1.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	1.9%	5.9%	9.4%			
Las Virgenes, California	3.67%	1.22%	2.93%	2.20%	1.47%	1.71%	0.49%	18.1%	6.8%	13.2%			
	- つ ア	20°	0.0%	%0.0 %	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	4.9%	13.9%			

				83	2							022	2			
				car wash i	frequency						driv	eway cleani	ng frequer	cy		
	Never	<1/mon.	1/month	2/month	1/week	>1/week	D.Know	Z,	Never	<umon.< th=""><th>1/month</th><th>2/month</th><th>1/week</th><th>>1/week</th><th>D.Know</th><th>Z 70</th></umon.<>	1/month	2/month	1/week	>1/week	D.Know	Z 70
Bouider, Colorado	44.2%	37.9%	8.3%	59%	2.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.2%	53.4%	35.3%	7.2%	1.5%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%	0.2%
Denver Colorado	42.5%	33.5%	12.0%	9.4%	2.2%	0.0%	00%	0.4%	36.7%	41.9%	10.7%	4.5%	4.5%	0.2%	0.9%	0.6%
Eugene Oregon	20.8%	36.9%	16.1%	16.5%	7.3%	0.4%	1.0%	1.2%	27.6%	46.7%	13.7%	5.9%	2.4%	0.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Seattle, Washington	26.0%	42.3%	14.9%	11.9%	3.6%	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	49.7%	40.0%	5.6%	2.0%	1.0%	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
San Diego, California	36.3%	29.5%	15.4%	12.4%	5.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%	50.0%	30.3%	9.5%	3.7%	4.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%
Tampa, Florida	32.8%	32.8%	14.5%	11.5%	6.3%	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	49.5%	31.7%	7.9%	4.4%	3.3%	0.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Phoenix, Arizona	35.9%	31.9%	15.3%	11.7%	3.8%	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%	23.2%	4.1%	16.0%	9.9%	4.5%	0.9%	1.2%	0.2%
Tempe, Arizona	26.4%	36.4%	17.7%	8.6%	7.7%	0.5%	0.9%	1.8%	28.6%	39.1%	15.5%	9.1%	5.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.4%
Scottsdale, Arizona	42.9%	28.2%	13.8%	9.6%	4.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	23.1%	42.0%	18.6%	9.3%	5.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%
Waterloo, Ontario	22.0%	34.7%	22.8%	11.2%	5.0%	0.8%	0.8%	2.7%	49.0%	38.2%	7.3%	2.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Cambridge, Ontario	25.2%	29.7%	17.0%	13.4%	11.1%	0.0%	1.3%	2.3%	45.8%	35.0%	9.8%	4.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%
Walnut Valley, California	20.3%	30.5%	16.3%	21.7%	9.6%	0.0%	0.3%	1.3%	24.6%	40.4%	15.0%	10.4%	5.6%	1.9%	0.5%	1.6%
Las Virgenes, California	40.8%	25.7%	13.0%	13.4%	5.6%	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	32.0%	33.5%	12.2%	8.6%	12.0%	1.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Lompoc, California	26.1%	27.6%	18.8%	18.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	50.3%	33.6%	7.9%	3.0%	2.6%	0.2%	1.1%	1.3%

						Q23					
	ł				swin	ming pool	size				
	# pools	No Pool		Length			Width		A	rerage Dep	oth
		1 1	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median	Mean	Mode	Median
Boulder, Colorado	5	88.5%	39.2	40	40	16.4	20	16	5	4	5
Denver, Colorado	7	88.8%	29.3	NA	27	16.43	10	17	4.57	5	5
Eugene, Oregon	12	87.5%	14.7	12.0	12.0	12.43	12.0	12.0	3.63	3.0	3.0
Seattle, Washington	12	89.5%	26.6	32.0	32.0	14.14	16.0	15.5	4.86	5.0	5.0
San Diego, California	46	84.9%	30.8	30.0	30.0	15.28	12.0	15.0	5.41	5.0	5.0
Tampa, Florida	50	80%	26.9	30.0	30.0	15.2	15.0	15.0	5.2	4.0	5.0
Phoenix, Arizona	146	58.7%	29.3	30.0	30.0	15.5	15.0	15.0	5.6	4.0	5.0
Tempe, Arizona	72	57.3%	30.5	30	30	15.8	15.0	15.0	5.7	5.0	5.0
Scottsdale, Arizona	148	42.6%	29.7	30	30	15.1	15.0	15.0	5.3	5.0	5.0
Waterloo, Ontario	19	92.7%	32.0	32	32	16.4	16.0	16.0	5.1	5.0	5.0
Cambridge, Ontario	26	91.5%	29.0	32	32	17.8	16	16	5.4	4.0	5.0
Walnut Valley, California	98	73.8%	29.7	30	30	16.0	15	15	6.1	6.0	6.0
Las Virgenes, California	223	45.5%	32.4	30	33	16.2	20	16	5.7	6.0	5.0
Lompoc, California	4	91.4%	32.8	30	33	15.0	#N/A	16	4.8	4.0	4.5

Boulder, Colorado
Denver, Colorado
Eugene, Oregon
Seattle, Washington
San Diego, California
Tampa, Florida
Phoenix, Arizona
Tempe, Arizona
Scottsdale, Arizona
Waterloo, Ontario
Cambridge, Ontario
Walnut Valley, California
Las Virgenes, California